	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/673,422	TAKECHI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Christopher A. Revak	2131
Il Participants: Status of Application: after-final		
(1) <u>Christopher A. Revak</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Lawrence Ashery</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 13 September 2006	Time: <u>10:00 am</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 35 US 101		
Claims discussed: 9,10		
Prior art documents discussed: n/a		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand 	e examiner will provide a writte record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
	·	
(1) 91/3/06		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	t/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted the applicant's representative in an attempt to compact prosecution. Claims 9 and 10 contained subject matter that which is non-statutory in light of the applicant's specification. The examiner proposed language to overcome the non-statutory content and was authorized to make an examiner's amendment..